

I, <u>Sharon A. Nance</u>, hereby certify that this correspondence, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.8, is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313 1450, on:

Date: __July 15, 2005__

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s):

Martin J. Murphy

Docket No.:

58651.00004

Application No.:

10/825,724

Group Art Unit:

2857

Filing Date:

April 15, 2004

Examiner:

Donald E. McElheny Jr.

TITLE:

SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR SPECTRAL CORRECTED

LIGHTNING DETECTION

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner:

The Examiner has not filed a statement of reasons for allowance in the present application, however, if one attempted to read into the file history of the present application the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance in the parent case (now US patent 6,735,525), applicant would respectfully object, at least because the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance in the parent case pertains solely to the parent application and is irrelevant to the present application. Moreover, even if one erroneously considered as relevant to the present application the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance in the parent application, the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance in the parent application is objected to, to the extent that it may give rise to reasoning that is inconsistent with the proper scope of the claims. The statement does not include at least (1) all the differences in the claims not found in the prior

art of record, and (2) all the reasons why such differences are considered to define patentability over the prior art.

Claim construction requires each claim to be taken as a whole. Patentability of each claim is imparted by each claim as a whole. No portion of any claim is to be construed as more important for patentability than any other portion.

Applicant asserts that each claim is allowable because all statutory requirements have been met, including, inter alia, the absence in all art of record of the same inventions as claimed or any disclosure, teaching, or suggestion (explicit or implied) that would make the claimed inventions obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 15, 2005

SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. Two Renaissance Square 40 North Central Avenue, Suite 2700 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4498 (602) 528-4839

327518

By

Allen L Moss Reg. No. 38,567